



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,917	<u> </u>	02/08/2001	Clay H. Fisher	50N3695.01/1582 9084		
24272	7590	03/31/2006		EXAMINER		
	J. Koerner Patent Lav		VIEAUX, GARY			
		Boulevard ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER				
Suite 205			2622			
Foster Cit	y, CA 94	404		DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Application No. 09/781,917 FISHER ET AL. Examiner Gary C. Vieaux 2622

	Gary C. Vieaux	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv. event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
	h.,, h.,, ., .,		h
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially for	educing or simplifying	tile issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	2-	la
	PRIN	TUAN HO MARY EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment limitations, particularly those creating inclusive limitations or limitations in the alternative, raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration regarding those claims and all claims dependent therefrom.

As an additional note to the Applicant: Beginning in February 2006, Technology Center 2600, to which Art Unit 2612 belongs, began operating under a new structure in which the original 55 art units were consolidated into 17 art units. The applications and classifications covered by Art Unit 2612 are now the responsibility of Art Unit 2622. This change currently applies to the instant application, and therefore, all future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 2622. The Examiner of record has not changed.